

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,788		08/29/2001	Yuji Ono	011075	4613
23850	7590	04/01/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP				PERRIN, JOSEPH L	
1725 K ST	REET, N	W			
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, D	ON, DC 20006		1746	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m				
Office Action Summary	09/940,788	ONO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	ith the correspondence add	ress				
Period for Reply	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	March 2005.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			` '				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 24 March 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments in view of the amendment filed 24 March 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3 under §102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of CADY and OMSTEAD.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,788 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. In the preamble, applicant claims a "cleaning method of wet-cleaned wafers". However, the body of the claims are solely directed to spin drying a wafer with inert gas. Thus, it is unclear what applicant intends. Is this a wet-cleaning method as directed by the preamble or is this a spin drying method as directed by the method steps? Clarification and correction are required.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: hollow gas injection section (reference numeral 30) with baffle plate (reference numeral 35) interposed therein. The baffle plate being interposed in the hollow gas injection section is considered an essential element due to such structure required to enable gas to be directed the outer peripheral edge of the baffle plate and pass through the bottom plate of the gas injection section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,788

Art Unit: 1746

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CADY (previously cited) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,190,732 to OMSTEAD et al. (hereinafter "OMSTEAD"). CADY discloses the claimed invention of a method of wet-cleaning wafers by spin drying a wafer while flowing inert gas, such as nitrogen, to the face of the wafer (see entire reference of CADY, for instance, Figures 1-2, col. 3, lines 38-49, and col. 4, lines 48-56). CADY also discloses the sealed drying space 40 is sealed for "removing any entrained and undesirable gases or contaminants", and supplying inert gas such that the gas supply at the outer peripheral portion is larger than

Application/Control Number: 09/940,788

Art Unit: 1746

that of the center portion (see entire reference, for instance, col. 7, lines 44-58, and Figures 6-8B, respectively). Although CADY does disclose (for instance, in Figures 8A-B) a hollow gas showerhead configuration and supplying inert gas such that the gas supply at the outer peripheral portion is larger than that of the center portion, CADY does not disclose a baffle plate redirecting gas around the outer periphery of the baffle late and to a bottom plate with injection openings. OMSTEAD teaches that it is known in the semiconductor processing art to provide a baffle plate (deflector plate 38) in a center portion of a hollow gas showerhead (housing 20) to better disperse gas through the housing (see, for instance, col. 9, lines 1-15 & Figure 2). Therefore, the position is taken that a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to modify the gas showerhead of CADY with the baffle plate of OMSTEAD to better disperse gas to the outer periphery of the gas showerhead. Moreover, one of ordinary skill would immediately recognize that such an arrangement would also prevent gas from directly flowing through the center portion of the gas showerhead onto the wafer.

Page 5

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,788 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1746

jlp